



Minutes of the Town Council meeting held on 7th June 2016 in the main hall of the Community Centre at 7pm

Attended C'Ilr C Franklin in the Chair (CF), C'Ilr M Connolly (MC), C'Ilr A Birch (AB), C'Ilr E O'Connell (EO), C'Ilr L Swinyard-Jordan (LSJ), C'Ilr S Anderton (SA), C'Ilr S Slater (SS), C'Ilr A Connolly (AC), C'Ilr P Hedge (PH), C'Ilr S Fell (SF), C'Ilr A Dawson (AD), C'Ilr B Pratt (BP), Carly Lovell, Town Clerk (CL) Georgina Hopper (Admin) (GH) Fred Galvin (FG), Amanda Hughes (AH), Daz Stevenson (DS) (Tidworth War Memorial Cttee), Tony Pickernell (TP)(TCAP), James Rochester (JR)(26 Engineers Rgt)		1 Apologies: C'Ilr H Jones, C'Ilr R Gregory, C'Ilr Gabriello Absent:
Item	Agenda Item	Action by
2	Declaration of Interest CF – Bills for Payment	
3	General Public Questions FG – following recent death of nephew, is looking to TTC for help in locating a plot of land on which to plant a tree which he would then maintain & insure himself. Possibility of replacing the dead tree at Hampshire Cross with Fred's tree was discussed, however, worry that this could open the floodgates to other such requests. Suggestion of placing tree in the cemetery, to be discussed at the Community Services Cttee meeting on 14 th June. DS – final meeting with stone mason & designer to be held 8 th June 2016. Issue of names & which wars / conflicts to include to be an agenda item at July FTC. CF advised at a recent meeting Persimmons confirmed they will be giving £100,000 to the TWMC. Donations can be received up until Nov 2016, there is still some shortfall of funds but not sufficient to halt progress. DS confirmed war memorial planning will not affect the Tidworth Town Festival. JR – attending to see how meetings ran. Police report had been received, to be circulated to members 8 th June. TCAP – presentation given by Tony Pickernell regarding the work done by TCAP.	CL CL GH
4	Minutes of Previous Meeting Minutes had been circulated, AD proposed they were a true and accurate copy, seconded by NA, carried.	
5	Civic Centre Report from Thrive Architects had been circulated via email and hard copy posted to all C'Ilrs. Requirement for vote to be held on whether, given the information in the report, to proceed with the project & allow Leadership Cttee to hold confidential conversations, (in line with commercial confidentiality) with service providers on Full Councils' behalf. Each C'Ilr to be asked to sign 2 copies of the report (1 to be filed, other to be working copy) to confirm their vote. Members were asked if they had any questions.	

LSJ –

1. queried why plans for the war memorial were not included in the report – was advised that civic centre plans had been drawn up prior to those for the war memorial & therefore it was not necessary for them to be included.
2. Community Gardens – was advised this would be a part of the outside space which would be landscaped to gardens.
3. Pg 9 – regarding current & proposed functions, explanation of this table – was advised evidence was based on what the current building was used for and what uses had been requested which could not currently be met, such as remote control cars. Building design layout had been based on current & requested activities as opposed to layout being chosen first.
4. Pg 18 -19 – Tender process – CF advised this gave a summary of what options were available.

SA –

1. Meaning of BREAM – Building Research Establishment Assessment Measure – not relevant to this build but relates to building assessment, sustainability & rating.
2. S.127 – this relates to water drainage and supply, an official assessment of the supply to & from the building of water & sewerage has been completed.

PH -

1. Why originally quoted figure of £3M has increased to £3.8M - AC advised delays in planning have led to additional costs. Persimmons will offset some costs, loan will be taken out with the PWLB (Public Works Loan Board) at an interest rate of 3.16% meaning that on a loan of £3M, the annual interest charge would be £120,000, which would be covered by the precept which for 2016 is currently £263,000. This means that there would still be funds available to run the Council once loan payments have been made. TTC is currently in a financial position to be able to advise the architects to proceed and take the loan out now however, it is sensible to speak to other service providers, to see if they would wish to come in on the project and provide some of the capital for it.
2. If there are problems with the build would C'Ilrs be financially liable - MC advised C'Ilrs could be held liable for reckless decisions made, but as this project has been well researched and planned, this would not be seen as a reckless decision, therefore there would be no liability.

AD - Is the project still sustainable if no other service providers wanted to come in - AC advised as mentioned above, Councils budget allows for singular affordability, but preference is to have some level of partnership from other service providers. However, should it be necessary, there is a possibility that the second floor of the proposed building could be sacrificed, but given the projected growth of the population, this would not seem to be a sensible option. A 10 year precept plan had been drawn up, TTC is currently in the second year of this plan and totally on budget.

CF proposed TTC proceed with the project, seconded by MC. C'Ilrs were asked to vote, via a show of hands, whether or not they wished to proceed with the project. **All C'Ilrs present voted in favour.** 2 copies of the report were then circulated for all C'Ilrs to sign. C'Ilrs HJ and RG had previously provided their proxy votes in favour of proceeding to the Town Clerk.

	advised exact cost of report was not known until after Mays Full Town, leadership Cttee has authority to approve expenses were necessary, and due to expediency required to continue moving the Civic Centre project forward, a decision was needed prior to the next Full Town meeting to allow a vote to be taken by all C'Ilrs on the results of the report, (see item 5). SS proposed the minutes were a true and accurate record, seconded by BP, carried.	
10.	Adoption Year End Accounts These had been circulated. MC proposed they be accepted, seconded by PH, carried.	
11	Adoption Standing Orders / Financial Regulations These had been circulated. MC proposed they be adopted, seconded by AH, carried.	
12	Business Between Meetings As discussed in Item 9, financial report from Thrive Architects had been received.	
13	Correspondance – Newsletters and reports available for inspection	
14	Bills for Payment BP proposed bills for payment totalling £18, 973.38 be paid, seconded by AD, carried.	
	Date of next meeting 5th July @ 7pm.	Agenda items to be submitted to the Clerk 7 days before the meeting

There being no further business to discuss the meeting was closed at 8.40pm

Agreed as a true record..... **Chris Franklin MBE,**
Chairman